1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT (OF THE STATE OF OREGON
2	FOR THE COUNTY	OF MULTNOMAH
3	JEANYNE JAMES, ROBIN COLBERT,	Case No. 20CV33885
4	JANE DREVO, SAM DREVO, BROOKE EDGE AND BILL EDGE, SR., LORI	Case Assigned to: Hon. Steffan Alexander
5	FOWLER, IRIS HAMPTON, JAMES HOLLAND, RACHELLE MCMASTER,	
6	KRISTINA MONTOYA, NORTHWEST RIVER GUIDES, LLC, SHARIENE	FINAL VERDICT
7	STOCKTON AND KEVIN STOCKTON, VICTOR PALFREYMAN,	
8	PALFREYMAN FAMILY TRUST, AND DUANE BRUNN, individually and on behalf	
9	of all others similarly situated,	
10	Plaintiffs,	
11	v.	
12	PACIFICORP, an Oregon corporation; and PACIFIC POWER, an Oregon	
13	registered electric utility and assumed business name of PACIFICORP,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	We, the jury, find:	
17		
18	I. <u>FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF: NEGI</u>	LIGENCE
19	QUESTION 1: Was the Defende	ant negligent in one or more of the ways the
20	plaintiffs claim as to the Plaintiffs and the en	tire class within the boundaries of the fire areas
21	below?	4
22	Echo Mountain Complex fire YES_	\checkmark NO $?$ $?$ $?$ $?$ $?$ $?$ $?$ $?$ $?$
23		44 48 61 69 83 96
24		,
25	Santiam Canyon fire YES_	
26		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

1	South Obenchain fire	YES_V_	NO	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
2				$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
3		,		
4	242 fire	YES	NO	$-\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
5				$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
6				
7	If "yes," for any of the fire are	as go to quest	ion 2.	
8	If "no" for any of the fire are			One is for defendant for that
9	fire area. Do not answer que.	stion 2 for an	y fire area i	that you answered "no" for
10	question 1. Do not answer qu	uestion 3 for a	ny fire area	that you answered "no" for
11	question 1.			
12	If you answered "yes" for a	nt least one f	îre area pro	oceed to question 2. If you
13	answered "no" for all four fire	areas procee	d to question	<i>a</i> 4.
14				
15				
16 17	QUESTION 2: Was the l	Defendant's no	egligence a c	ause of harm to the Plaintiffs
18	within the boundaries of the fire areas b	elow?		<u>3.</u>
19	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES ✓	NO	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
20	•			44 48 61 69 83 96
21				
22	Santiam Canyon fire	$_{ m YES}$ $\sqrt{}$	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
23				$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
24		,		
25	South Obenchain fire	YES	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
26	,			$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

1	242 fire	YES	NO	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
2				$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
3	At least nine of you who answe	red "yes" to	question 1 mi	ıst also agree on the answer					
4	to question 2 for that particular	r fire area.							
5	If "no" for any of the fire areas	s your verdict	t on Claim On	e is against the plaintiffs for					
6	that fire area and for the defend	that fire area and for the defendant for that fire area. Please proceed to Question 3.							
7									
8	QUESTION 3: Was the I	Defendant's ne	egligence a cau	use of harm to the entire class					
9	within the boundaries of the fire areas b	elow?		2 8 11 24 32 37					
10	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES_V	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
11				7 7 7 7					
12		,		2 8 11 24 32 37					
13	Santiam Canyon fire	YES	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
14				ANAAA					
15		,		2 8 11 24 32 37					
16	South Obenchain fire	YES_V	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
17									
18			,	2 8 11 24 32 37					
19	242 fire	YES_V	NO	- 					
20				<u> </u>					
21									
22	At least nine of you who answe	ered "yes" to	question 1 m	ust also agree on the answer					
23	to question 3 for that particula	r fire area.							
24	If "no" for any of the fire are	eas your verd	dict on Claim	One is against a finding of					
25	causation as to the entire clas	ss for that fir	e area and fo	or the defendant for that fire					
26	area. Please proceed to Questic	on 4, Claim Tv	vo, Gross Negi	ligence.					

2 II. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF: GROSS NEGLIGENCE 3 **QUESTION 4:** For each fire that you answered "Yes" in response to Question 1, 4 was the Defendant grossly negligent in one or more of the ways the plaintiffs claim as to the 5 Plaintiffs and the entire class within the boundaries of the fire areas below? 6 Echo Mountain Complex fire 7 8 9 Santiam Canyon fire 10 11 12 NO South Obenchain fire 13 14 15 242 fire 16 17 If "yes," for any of the fire areas go to question 5. 18 If "no" for any of the fire areas your verdict on Claim Two is for defendant for that 19 fire area. Do not answer question 5 for any fire area that you answered "no" for 20 question 4. Do not answer question 6 for any fire area that you answered "no" for 21 question 4. 22 If you answered "yes" for at least one fire area proceed to question 5. If you 23 answered "no" for all four fire areas proceed to question 7. 24 25 26

1	QUESTION 5: Was the I	Defendant's g	ross negliger	nce a cause of harm to the
2	Plaintiffs within the boundaries of the fir	e areas below	?	
3 4 5	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES_	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
6 7 8	Santiam Canyon fire	YES	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
9 10	South Obenchain fire	YES	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
12 13	242 fire	YES	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
14 15 16 17 18	At least nine of you who answer to question 5 for that particular If "no" for any of the fire areas that fire area and for the defend	fire area. your verdict	on Claim Tw	o is against the plaintiffs for
19 20 21	QUESTION 6: Was the D class within the boundaries of the fire are	_	oss negligence	e a cause of harm to the entire
22 23	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES_Y	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
24 25 26	Santiam Canyon fire	yes_V	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

		,					
1	South Obenchain fire	YES	NO				
2				44 48 61 69 83 96			
3		7					
4	242 fire	YES	NO	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
5							
6	At least nine of you who answe	ered "yes" to	question 4 n	nust also agree on the answer			
7	to question 6 for that particula	r fire area.					
8	If "no" for any of the fire are	eas your verd	ict on Clain	n Two is against a finding of			
9	causation as to the entire clas	ss for that fire	e area and f	for the defendant for that fire			
10	area. Please proceed to Questio	on 7.					
11							
12	<u>ADDIT</u>	IONAL CLA	ASS QUEST	TIONS			
.13	QUESTION 7: Was the Defendant's conduct reckless as to the Plaintiffs and the						
	entire class within the boundaries of the fire areas below?						
14	entire class within the boundaries of the	fire areas belo	ow?				
14 15		,		$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 8 & 11 & 24 & 32 & 37 \\ 1 & 24 & 24 & 32 & 37 \end{bmatrix}$			
	entire class within the boundaries of the Echo Mountain Complex fire	,		$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
15		,		N Y Y Y Y N			
15 16	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES	NO	N Y Y N N N 61 69 83 96 Y			
15 16 17		,		$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
15 16 17 18	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
15 16 17 18 19	Echo Mountain Complex fire . Santiam Canyon fire	YES_V_	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
15 16 17 18 19 20	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Echo Mountain Complex fire . Santiam Canyon fire	YES_V_	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Echo Mountain Complex fire Santiam Canyon fire South Obenchain fire	YES_V YES_V	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Echo Mountain Complex fire . Santiam Canyon fire	YES_V_	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Echo Mountain Complex fire Santiam Canyon fire South Obenchain fire	YES_\(\square \) YES_\(\square \) YES_\(\square \)	NO NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			


1				
2	QUESTION 8: Was the D	efendant's co	nduct willful	as to the Plaintiffs and the
3	entire class within the boundaries of the f	ire areas belo	w?	
4	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES	NO	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 8 & 11 & 24 & 32 & 37 \\ N & V & V & V & N \end{bmatrix}$
5	Dono Mountain Complex Inc	1 DO	1,0	44 48 61 69 83 96
6				
7	Santiam Canyon fire	YES V	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
8	•			44 48 61 69 83 96
9		ı		
10	South Obenchain fire	YES V	NO	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
l 1				44 48 61 69 83 96
12		/		•
13	242 fire	YES	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
14				$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
15	At least nine of you must agree	e. Please pro	ceed to Ques	tion 9, Claim Three, Private
16	Nuisance.			
17				
18	III. THIRD CLAIM	FOR RELIE	F: PRIVATE	E NUISANCE
19	QUESTION 9: Did the De	efendant's con	duct constitu	te a private nuisance as to the
20	Plaintiffs and the entire class within the b	ooundaries of	the fire areas	below?
21	Echo Mountain Complex fire	VES	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
22	Lono Mountain Complex inc	110	110	44 48 61 69 83 96
23		,		
24	Santiam Canyon fire	YES	NO	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 8 & 11 & 24 & 32 & 37 \\ N & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & N \end{bmatrix}$
25	Santian Canyon inc	110	***	44 48 61 69 83 96
26				

_				20 / 8 / 11 / 24 / 32 / 37
1	South Obenchain fire	YES V	NO	44 48 61 69 83 96
2				
3				2 8 11 24 32 37
4	242 fire	YES_V	NO	44 48 61 69 83 96
5				AAAAAA
6	If "yes," for any of the fire are	as go to quest	ion 10.	
7	If "no" for any of the fire area	as your verdic	t on Claim Th	ree is for defendant for that
8	fire area. Do not answer ques	stion 10 for a	ny fire area i	that you answered "no" for
9	question 9. Do not answer qu	estion 11 for a	any fire area	that you answered "no" for
10	question 9.			
11	If you answered "yes" for a	t least one fi	re area prod	ceed to question 10. If you
12	answered "no" for all four fire	e areas procee	d to question	12.
13				
14	QUESTION 10: Was the	Defendant's 1	private nuisar	nce a cause of harm to the
15	Plaintiffs within the boundaries of the fi	ire areas below	?	
16				2 , 8 , 11 , 24 , 32 , 37 ,
17	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES_V	NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
18				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
19				2 1 8 , 11 24 32 37,
20	Santiam Canyon fire	YES	NO	N Y Y Y Y Y Y
21				$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
22				2, 8 11 24 32 37
23	South Obenchain fire	YES_V_	NO	44 48 61 69 83 96
24				
25		/		2 0 11 24 22 327
	242 fire	YES_V	NO	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
26				$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

1	At least nine of you who answered "yes" to question 9 must also agree on the answer								
2	to question 10 for that particula	to question 10 for that particular fire area.							
3	If "no" for any of the fire areas	If "no" for any of the fire areas your verdict on Claim Three is against the plaintiffs							
4	for that fire area and for the de	for that fire area and for the defendant for that fire area. Please proceed to Question							
5	11.								
6									
7	QUESTION 11: Was the D	efendant's pri	ivate nuisance	a cause of harm to the entire					
8	class within the boundaries of the fire are	eas below?							
9	Echo Mountain Complex fire	AMES /	NO	2 8 11 24 32 37					
10	Echo Mountain Complex fire	1E5_V	NO	44 48 61 69 83 96					
11				1 1 7 7 7 7 7					
12	Santiana Campan Sus	YES V	NO	2 8 11 24 32 37					
13	Santiam Canyon fire	ies	NO	44 48 61 69 83 96					
14									
15	South Obenchain fire	YES V	NO	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 32 \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 37 \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$					
16	South Obelicham me	1 Lb	NO	44 48 61 69 83 96					
17		,							
18	242 fire	VES	NO	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 32 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 37 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$					
19	242 IIIC	113	110	44 48 61 69 83 96					
20									
21	At least nine of you who answe	red "ves" to	auestion 9 mi	ust also goree on the answer					
22	to question 11 for that particula	•	question > mi	ast this agree on the analysis					
23	If "no" for any of the fire area		et on Claim T	Three is against a finding of					
24	causation as to the entire class								
25	area. Please proceed to Question								

IV. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF: PUBLIC NUISANCE **QUESTION 12:** Did Defendant's conduct constitute a public nuisance as to the Plaintiffs and the entire class within the boundaries of the fire areas below? Echo Mountain Complex fire Santiam Canyon fire South Obenchain fire 242 fire If "yes," for any of the fire areas go to question 13. If "no" for any of the fire areas your verdict on Claim Four is for defendant for that fire area. Do not answer question 13 for any fire area that you answered "no" for question 12. Do not answer question 14 for any fire area that you answered "no" for question 12. If you answered "yes" for at least one fire area proceed to question 13. If you answered "no" for all four fire areas proceed to question 15.

1	QUESTION 13: Was the	Defendant's 1	public nuisan	ce a cause of harm to the
2	Plaintiffs within the boundaries of the fir	re areas below	?	
3	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES	NO	2 8 11 24 32 37 44 48 61 69 83 96
5				
6	Santiam Canyon fire	YES	NO	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
7				44 48 61 69 83 96
8				
9	South Obenchain fire	YES V	NO	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
0				44 48 61 69 83 96
l 1		/		
12	242 fire	YES	NO	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
13	242 fire		1.0	44 48 61 69 83 96
14	At least nine of you who ans	swered "ves"	to question	12 must also agree on the
15	answer to question 13 for that p			-
16	If "no" for any of the fire are			Four is against the plaintiffs
17	for that fire area and for the a			
18	14.		3	. ~
19		Defendant's ກ	ublic nuisance	e a cause of harm to the entire
20	class within the boundaries of the fire a			
21				2 8 11 24 32 37
22	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES	. NO	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
23				[\frac{\fin}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fir}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fir}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac
24		/		2 . 8 , 11 , 24 , 32 37 ,
25	Santiam Canyon fire	YES_V	NO	$-\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
26				Y Y Y Y Y



1	If "no" for any of the fire areas your verdict on Claim Five is for defendant for that								
2	fire area. Do not answer question 16 for any fire area that you answered "no" for								
3	question 15. Do not answer question 17 for any fire area that you answered "no" for								
4	question 15.	question 15.							
5	If you answered "yes" for at l	If you answered "yes" for at least one fire area proceed to question 16. If you							
6	answered "no" for all four fire a	reas procee	d to question	18.					
7	QUESTION 16: Was the De	efendant's t	respass a caus	se of harm to the Plaintiffs					
8	within the boundaries of the fire areas belo	ow?							
9	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES V	NO	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
10	Dono Mountain Complex inc	120	110	44 48 61 69 83 96					
11		_							
12	Santiam Canyon fire	YES V	NO	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
13	Summer Summy on and			44 48 61 69 83 96					
14									
15	South Obenchain fire	YES V	NO	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
16				44 48 61 69 83 96					
17									
18	242 fire	YES	NO	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
19				44 48 61 69 83 96					
20				,					
21	At least nine of you who answ	ered "yes"	to question	15 must also agree on the					
22	answer to question 16 for that pa	articular fire	e area.						
23	If "no" for any of the fire areas	s your verdi	ict on Claim I	Five is against the plaintiffs					
24	for that fire area and for the dej	fendant for	that fire area	. Please proceed to Question					
25	<i>17</i> .								
26									

1	• QUESTION 17: Was the Defendant's trespass a cause of harm to the entire class
2	within the boundaries of the fire areas below?
3 4	Echo Mountain Complex fire YES NO
5 6 7 8	Santiam Canyon fire $YES \stackrel{\checkmark}{\underbrace{\hspace{1cm}}} NO \stackrel{2}{\underbrace{\hspace{1cm}}} \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
9 10 11	South Obenchain fire YES NO
12	242 fire YES NO $\frac{2}{44}$ $\frac{8}{48}$ $\frac{11}{61}$ $\frac{24}{69}$ $\frac{32}{83}$ $\frac{3}{9}$
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	At least nine of you who answered "yes" to question 15 must also agree on the answer to question 17 for that particular fire area. If "no" for any of the fire areas your verdict on Claim Five is against a finding of causation as to the entire class for that fire area and for the defendant for that fire area. Please proceed to Question 18, Claim Six, Inverse Condemnation.
2223242526	VI. SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF: INVERSE CONDEMNATION QUESTION 18: Did the Defendant's conduct constitute an intentional taking under authority of law (referred to as "inverse condemnation") of the property or portions of property of the Plaintiffs and the entire class within the boundaries of the fire areas below?

				2	Q	11	24	32	37.
1	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES	NO	N	48	61	N 69	83	96
2				N	N	Ň	N	<u></u>	$ \sim $
3					T 0	T 11	L 24	1 22	27
4	Santiam Canyon fire	YES	NO	N	N 48.	12	69 69	83	N 96,
5				7	N.	161 N	N	\ <u>'</u>	N
6					10	111	124	122	27.
7	South Obenchain fire	YES	NO_V	Ň	N	17	N 69	83	37 N
8				N	148 N	1 61 N	Ň] "À	N 969
9							104	1 22	27
10	242 fire	YES	NO_V	N 2 N	N ⁸	N N		32	N N
11				44	148 N	61 N	18/	83	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
12	If "yes," for any of the fire area	as go to quest	tion 19.						
13	If "no" for any of the fire are	as your verd	ict on Claim	Six is j	for de	fendan	t for i	that	
14	fire area. Do not answer ques	tion 19 for a	ny fire area t	hat yo	u ans	wered	"no"	<i>for</i>	
15	question 18. Do not answer qu	iestion 20 for	any fire area	that y	ou ans	swered	"no"	for	
16	question 18.				-	•			
17	If you answered "yes" for a	t least one fi	ìre area proc	eed to	ques	stion 1	9. If	you	
18	answered "no" for all four fire	areas procee	ed to question	21.					
19									
20	QUESTION 19: Was the I	Defendant's in	verse condem	nation	a caus	se of ha	arm to	the	
21	Plaintiffs within the boundaries of the fi	re areas belov	v?						
22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	270	2	8	11	24	32	37
23	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES	NO	- 44	48	61	69	83	96
24							l,		L
25			270	2	8	11	24	32	37
26	Santiam Canyon fire	YES	NO	- 44	48	61	69	83	96
•									

1	\									
2	South Obenchain fire	YES	NO	2	8	11	24	32	37	•
3				44	48	61	69	83	96	-
4				L			<u> </u>			-
5	242 fire	YES_	NO	2	8	11	24	32	37	
6			1.0	44	48	61	69	83	96	-
7	At least nine of you who an	swered "ves"	to question	18 m	ust als	so agre	e on	the		
8	answer to question 19 for that		_							
9	If "no" for any of the fire area			r is ac	rainst	the nla	intiffs	for		
10	that fire area and for the defen			_		_		•		
11	mary no area ana jor me aejen	iaani jor inai j	ire urea. I rea	se pro	cccu n	Ques	20	,.		
12	QUESTION 20: Was the l	Defendant's in	verse condem	nation	9 (2)16	se of he	erm to	the		
				пацоп	a caus	se or m	11111 tO	ше		
13	entire class within the boundaries of the	e fire areas belo	ow?							
14	Echo Mountain Complex fire	YES	NO	2	8	11	24	32	37	
15				44	48	61	69	83	96	
16				<u> </u>	•					
17	Santiam Canyon fire	YES	NO	2	8	11	24	32	37	
18	·		-	44	48	61	69	83	96	
19				<u> </u>	<u></u> .	-,L.,	· L ,, , ,			J
20	South Obenchain fire	YES	NO	2	8	11	24	32	37	
21	30000		110	44	48	61	69	83	96	,
22									<u> </u>	J
23	242 fire	YES	NO	2	8	11	24	32	37]
24	242 IIIC	1125	110	44	48	61	69	83	96	
25	At least nine of you who am	muoned "ver"	to quantion	10 200	ust als			+ha		J
26	At least nine of you who ans			10 <i>ท</i> ณ	ısı AlS	o agre	e un	itie		
	answer to question 20 for that	particular jire	e urea.							

If "no" for any of the fire areas your verdict on Claim Six is against a finding of causation as to the entire class for that fire area and for the defendant for that fire area. Please proceed to the instructions below and Question 21.

CLASS REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFFS' INDIVIDUAL DAMAGES

If you answered "yes" to question 2, question 5, question 10, question 13, or question 16 for some or all of the four fire areas, or if all were answered "yes", please proceed to question 21 and answer the plaintiffs' individual damages questions for any fire area to which you answered "yes" for question 2, question 5, question 10, question 13, or question 16. At least nine of you who agreed to those answers for a particular fire area, must also agree on your answers to question 21 and question 22 for the same fire area.

If you did not answer "yes" to question 2, question 5, question 10, question 13, and question 16 for a particular fire area then your verdict is against plaintiffs for that fire area and for the defendant for that particular fire area. Do not answer questions 21 or question 22 for that particular fire area. Otherwise, please proceed to question 21.

<u>PLAINTIFFS' INDIVIDUAL DAMAGES – FIRST, SECOND, THIRD, FOURTH, AND</u> FIFTH CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

QUESTION 21: What amount of economic damages, if any, do you award each of the following Plaintiffs?

Plaintiffs within the boundaries of the Echo Mountain Complex fire area	Economic Damages
James Holland (Echo)	\$404,884.00
Rachelle McMaster (Echo)	\$147,160.00
Kevin Stockton (Echo)	\$ 96,521.50
Shariene Stockton (Echo)	\$96,521.50

1	2	8 \	11	24	32	37 🗡
2	44 \	48	61	69 \	83/	96 >
2						

4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	*
14	

Plaintiffs within the boundaries of the	Economic Damages				
Santiam Canyon fire area					
Robin Colbert (Santiam)	#19,654,00				
Jane Drevo (Santiam)	\$493,882,00				
Sam Drevo (Santiam)	\$ 85, 295.00				
Brooke Edge (Santiam)	\$224 240,00				
Bill Edge (Santiam)	\$224,240,00				
Lori Fowler (Santiam)	\$ 35,412.60				
Iris Hampton (Santiam)	\$ 536,776,00				
Jeanyne James (Santiam)	\$485,408,93				
Kristina Montoya (Santiam)	\$119,004,00				
Northwest River Guides, LLC (Santiam)	\$330,000.00				
2 8 11 24 7	32 Y 37 N				
44 48 61 69 4	83 7 96 7				

19	
20	

Plaintiffs within the boundaries of the	Economic Damages
South Obenchain fire area	
Victor Palfreyman (South Obenchain)	\$20,000.00
Palfreyman Family Trust (South Obenchain)	\$1,000,000.00

24 \

69 \

83 Y

1	Plaintiffs within	the bo		es of t			Econ	omic Damages
2	242	fire ar	ea					
3	Duane Brunn (242)					1	56,	187.00
4		2 N	8	ıŊ	24	32 \	37 N	
5		44	48	61	69	83	96	
_							/	

Please proceed to Question 22.

QUESTION 22: What amount of noneconomic damages, if any, do you award each of the following Plaintiffs?

Plaintiffs within	Non-Economic Damages				
Ēcho Mountai					
James Holland (Echo)	\$4,500,000.00				
Rachelle McMaster (Ed	cho)	\$4,500,000.00			
Kevin Stockton (Echo)		\$4,500,000.00			
Shariene Stockton (Ech	10)	\$3,000,000.60			
	2 \ 8 \ 11 \ 24 \ \ 44 \ 48 \ 61 \ 69 \ \	32 × 37 × 83 × 96 ×			

Plaintiffs within the boundaries of the Santiam Canyon fire area	Non-Economic Damages
Robin Colbert (Santiam)	\$4,500,000.00
Jane Drevo (Santiam)	\$14,500,000.00
Sam Drevo (Santiam)	\$4,500,000.00
Brooke Edge (Santiam)	\$4,500,000.00

Bill Edge (Santiam)				#4	1,500	000.00		
Lori Fowler (Santiam)	\$4	l ' '						
Iris Hampton (Santiam)	\$ 4	\$4,500,000,00						
Jeanyne James (Santiam)				# 4	1 . '			
Kristina Montoya (Santiam)				#3	\$3,000,000.00			
Northwest River Guides, LLC	(Santi	am)			Ø			
2 N	32	37						
44 🗸	83	96 }						
			<u>-</u>			-		

Plaintiffs within South Obe	ì	Non-Economic Damages						
Victor Palfreyman (Sou	Victor Palfreyman (South Obenchain)							
Palfreyman Family Tru	st (Sou	th Obe	nchain)	\$4	1,50c	000,00	
	2 N	8 \	11	24 \	32	37 🗡		
	44 >	48	61 >	69 >	83	96 }		

Plaintiffs within	ı the bo 2 fire ar		ies of t	he	N	on-Eco	nomic Damages
Duane Brunn (242)					#3	3,000	0,000.00
	2 N	87	11	24 🗸	32	37	
	14.	40 V	J.V	~ V	V	ac V	

Please proceed to the instructions below and Question 23.

<u>PLAINTIFFS' INDIVIDUAL DAMAGES – SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF INVERSE</u> <u>CONDEMNATION</u>

If you answered "yes" to question 19, for some or all of the four fire areas, please proceed to question 23 and answer the plaintiffs' individual damages questions for any fire area to which you answered "yes" for question 19. At least nine of you who agreed to those answers for a particular fire area, must also agree on your answers to question 23 for the same fire area.

If you did not answer "yes" to question 19, for a particular fire area then your verdict is against plaintiffs for that fire area and for the defendant for that particular fire area. Do not answer questions 23 for that particular fire area. Otherwise, please proceed to question 23.

QUESTION 23: What amount of just compensation, if any, do you award each of the following Plaintiffs?

Plaintiffs within Echo Mountair			物學想			Just	Compensation
James Holland (Echo)				_			
Rachelle McMaster (Ed	ho)						
Kevin Stockton (Echo)				·			
Shariene Stockton (Ech	0)						
	2	8	11	24	32	37	

Just Compensation	Plaintiffs within the boundaries of the
	Santiam Canyon fire area
	Aobin Colbert (Santiam)
5 407 Th 11 As 12 17 17	ane Drevo (Santiam)
and the second	Sam Drevo (Santiam)
	3rooke Edge (Santiam)
	3ill Edge (Santiam)
	Lori Fowler (Santiam)
	(msitns2) notqmsH sir
the late the head with	Jeanyne James (Santiam)
	(mainaR) syotnoM antisirX
	Northwest River Guides, LLC (Santiam)

	Palfreyman Family Trust (South Obenchain)
	Victor Palfreyman (South Obenchain)
	South Obenchain fire area
Just Compensation	Plaintiffs within the boundaries of the

tt

LI

SI

ÞΙ

Π

L

ς

Þ

I

96	83	69	19	81/	77
137	32	74	II	8	7

Lε

intiffs withi			iles of I	TIC.		Just	Compensation
CALLERY CONTROL OF CONTROL CON	2 fire a	rea					
runn (242)				1		T	·
	2	8	11	24	32	37	
	44	48	61	69	83	96	
are now done	e with th	nis forn	a. Your	presid	ing jur	or shou	ld sign this verdict form
erk.							
•							
					_		
9 23			#69	7 6	25		
		Pr	esiding	Juror 1	Numbe	r and I	nitials
		are now done with the	2 8 44 48 are now done with this formouth.	2 8 11 44 48 61 are now done with this form. Your erk. 9 2 3 #69	2 8 11 24 44 48 61 69 are now done with this form. Your presidents.	2 8 11 24 32 44 48 61 69 83 are now done with this form. Your presiding jurgerk. 9 2 3 #69 85	2 8 11 24 32 37 44 48 61 69 83 96 are now done with this form. Your presiding juror shoulerk.